

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/777,686	INNO, TOSHIFUMI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Barbara L. Gilliam	1752	

**All Participants:**

(1) Barbara L. Gilliam.

(2) Craig McCrobbie.

**Status of Application: After-Final**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 31 January 2006

**Time:** 11:30 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed an amendment to independent Claims 1 and 3 to obviate 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph issues. Mr. McCrobbie was unable to authorize the amendment without approval from the Applicant.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)